## Koch, Kristine

From: Gail Fricano «GFricano@indecon.com»
Sent: Tuesday, August 04, 2015 6:32 AM

To: Koch, Kristine Cc: Rita Cabral

**Subject:** questions on FS Section 2 tables

Attachments: 2015-07-29 Portland Harbor Draft Final FS Section 2.2 Tables\_forEPA\_08032015.xlsx

Kristine,

As we previously discussed, we have some questions regarding the RAO tables in Section 2 of the FS. You had kindly offered to discuss our questions by phone after you get the FS sections out.

Does Monday, August 10, work for you? If not, please propose some dates/times that are convenient for you.

Our big picture questions for the call are:

- 1. There are some apparent discrepancies between the values reported and/or not reported in the Section 2 tables and those presented in the Human Health PRGs table. We may be misunderstanding the process for selecting those values.
- 2. There appears to be a discrepancy between what is described in the text of Section 2 and which values are actually being pulled for RAOs 3 and 4. Namely, the text says "The PRGs for RAOs 3 and 4 were based on the State of Oregon AWQCs (organism + water) and MCLs presented in Table 2.1-4." However, it looks like the lowest values between the Oregon state criteria and Clean Water Act criteria are pulled for the AWQC column in those RAOs instead of just pulling Oregon State criteria.
- 3. We have some comments regarding significant figures, contaminant names, and units consistency across tables.

Attached are more detailed comments and questions on several of the RAO tables, selected to represent a range of issues.

We look forward to discussing our questions with you.

Thank you,

Gail

## Gail French Fricano

Senior Associate Industrial Economics, Incorporated (IEc) 2067 Massachusetts Ave. Cambridge, MA 02140

617.354.0074 www.indecon.com